



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. None

HUI HSIUNG CHAO
7F., No. 213
Civic Boulevard. Sec.4
Taipei 105 TW TAIWAN

COPY MAILED

JUN 05 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:
Hui Hsiung Chao	:
Application No. 10/723,193	:
Filed: November 25, 2003	:
Attorney Docket No.: PO3123-	:
F003	:
Title: MEANS FOR UTILIZING THE	
HEAT SOURCE WITH EFFICIENCY	

This is a decision on the petition filed August 1, 2005, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181, requesting that the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application be withdrawn.

The Office regrets the period of delay in issuing this decision.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action, mailed December 3, 2004, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on March 4, 2005. A notice of abandonment was mailed on June 14, 2005.

With the present petition, Petitioner has alleged that the mailing was not received. The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. In addition, a copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication

would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement¹.

Petitioner has met the requirements of Delgar v. Schuyler, 172 USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971), in that he has asserted that he has searched both the file jacket and the docket record, and he has included a copy of the latter..

Considering the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue, as set forth on petition, it is concluded that Petitioner has met his burden of establishing that the mailing was not received.

Accordingly, the petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) is **GRANTED**. The holding of abandonment is **WITHDRAWN**.

The Technology Center will be advised of this decision. The Technology Center's technical support staff will re-mail the non-final Office action. The three-month extendable time period for responding to the Office action will be set to run from the mailing date of the re-mailed Office action.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office

¹ See MPEP 711.03(c).